FSC F	orest Management Audit
	Public Summary Report
Audit Conducted By	SCS Global Services 2000 Powell Street Ste. 600
	Emeryville CA 94608 United States of America www.scsglobalservices.com
Contact Person	Brendan Grady
Report last updated on	03 January 2024
Certificate Holder	Irving Woodlands, LLC (IWLLC) PO Box 240
Contact Person	Fort Kent ME 04743 United States of America www.jdirving.com
Contact Person	Scott MacDougall
Certified Forest Areas	0
FSC certificate registration code	SCS-FM/COC-00121N
Certificate issue date Certificate expiry date	08 December 2019 07 December 2024
Audit Sequence	0
No certificate granted	

## **Certificate Holder and Certification Body Details**

Question	Inputs
Certificate Holder	
1.01 Certificate holder name *	Irving Woodlands, LLC (IWLLC)
1.02.1 Street Address *	PO Box 240
1.02.2 Address Line 2	
1.02.3 City *	Fort Kent
1.02.4 State or Province	ME
1.02.5 Postal Code	04743
1.03 Country *	United States of America
1.04 Contact person full name *	Scott MacDougall
1.05 Email *	macdougall.scott@jdirving.com
1.06 Telephone	
1.07 Website *	www.jdirving.com
Certificate Parameters 1.08 FSC licence code *	FSC-C041515
1.09 Certificate code *	SCS-FM/COC-00121N
1.10 Former certificate code (if any)	FM/COC
1.11 Certificate type * 1.12 Group certificate *	No
1.12 Group certificate 1.13.1 Initial certification date *	2009-12-08
1.13.2 Most recent certification date *	2019-12-08
1.13.3 Certificate expiry date *	2024-12-07
1.14 Total number of MUs in the scope of certificate *	1
1.15 Total area certified *	519,572.0 ha
1.16 Change of scope since previous audit *	No
1.16.1 Nature of scope change	
1.17 Ecosystem services (ES) in the scope *	No

1.25 Name and/or location of the certified forest area(s)

## **Certification Body**

1.18 Certification body name *	SCS Global Services
1.19.1 Street Address *	2000 Powell Street Ste. 600
1.19.2 Address Line 2	
1.19.3 City *	Emeryville
1.19.4 State	CA
1.19.5 Postal Code	94608
1.20 Country *	United States of America
1.21 Contact person full name *	Brendan Grady
1.22 Email *	bgrady@scsglobalservices.com
1.23 Telephone	+1.510.452.8000
1.24 Website *	www.scsglobalservices.com

# The evaluation process

Audit Parameters	
2.01 Audit type *	Surveillance
2.01.1 Audit sequence	
2.02 Audit start date *	2023-10-17
2.16 First stakeholder consultation date for this audit	
2.03 Audit finish date *	2023-10-19
2.04 Total person days *	7.5
2.05 Date of report *	2024-01-03
2.06 Total area under evaluation *	519,572.0 ha
Normative Documents	

Inputs

#### Normative Documents

2.07 Evaluated international normative document(s)	
2.07.1 Trademark standard FSC-STD-50-001 *	Yes
2.07.2 Group standard FSC-STD-30-005 *	No
2.07.3 CoC standard FSC-STD-40-004 *	No
2.07.4 ES procedure FSC-PRO-30-006 *	No
2.07.5 Excision Policy FSC-POL-20-003 *	No
2.07.6 Pesticides Policy FSC-POL-30-001 *	Yes
2.07.7 Applicable NTFP Standard *	No
2.07.8 CIP FSC PRO 30-011 *	No
2.08 Code(s) of NFSS or INS used *	FSC-STD-USA-01-2010
2.09 Web link to the standard used	https://connect.fsc.org/document-centre/documents/resource/234
2.10 If applicable, the adaptation process of CR interim standard	

2.10 If applicable, the adaptation process of CB interim standard

# The evaluation process

	inputo
Certification Decision	
2.20 Conditions (corrections of minor non-conformities) or pre- conditions (corrections of major non-conformities) associated with the certification decision	
2.20.1 No specific condition *	Yes
2.20.2 Correction of minor NCRs issued within required timelines *	Yes
2.20.3 Correction of major NCRs issued within required timelines *	Yes
2.20.4 Correction of the pre-conditions to certification identified *	Yes
2.20.5 Other	No Conditions or NCRs were issued as a result of this evaluation.
2.21 Lead auditor opinion	
2.21.1 The certificate holder's system of management, if implemented as described, is capable of ensuring that all of the requirements of the applicable standard(s) are met over the whole forest area covered by the scope of the evaluation. *	Yes
2.21.2 The certificate holder has demonstrated, subject to correction of the identified non-conformities, that the described system of management is being implemented consistently over the whole forest area covered by the scope of the certificate. *	Yes
2.22 Auditor recommendation for the certificate holder's	
<i>management system and performance</i> 2.22.1 A certificate can only be issued/reissued/maintained when all	
identified Major CARs are closed *	Yes
2.22.2 The FM system of the evaluated enterprise does not comply with the provisions and standards of FSC. Due to the number of identified major non-compliances the auditors recommend the immediate suspension of the certificate *	No
2.23 Certification decision *	Maintain
2.24 Decision detail	No Conditions or NCRs were issued as a result of this evaluation. The certificate is maintained in good standing.
2.25 Decision date *	2024-01-03
2.26 Decision making entity *	SCS Global Services

Inputs

### Personnel / audit team



#### Audit itinerary

/ want filli	,	
4.01 Audit Itinerary Item Start Date	/ 4.02 Hours 4.03 MUs or members 4.04 Activities	4.05 Site detail
2023-10-17	2.00 Irving Woodlands, LLC Opening meeting	Introductions, client update, review scope of evaluation, audit plan, introlupdate to FSC and SCS standards, confidentiality and public summary, conformance evaluation methods and tools, review of open CARsIOBS, emergency and security procedures for evaluation team, final site selection.
2023-10-17	7.00 Irving Woodlands, LLC Field visits (Meister)	Route South, Kyle Meister - Uhless stated otherwise: All PMPs examined and up to date. Site-level plans examined and all completed as approved. All implementation records examined in the field were consistent with the Forest Management Plan (FMP) and site-level plan. Records of harvest monitoring completed and acceptable for all harves installed. The approximation of the state in site installed on approaches to crossing to remove water from road prior to reaching crossing. Observation of GIS infrastructure mapping system. 2. Uhl 7325/RF. Active clearcut operation using the feller-processor-forwarder method. Site is a mixed hardwood-softwood site and will be naturally regenerated. Stocking will be checked post-harves ta part of regular CFI and LDAR data. When trees are of a certain height, stocking may be checked post-harves ta part of regular CFI and LDAR data. When trees are of a certain height, stocking may be installed on on processor-forwarder method. Interview with approximation of presence of fire extinguisher and suppression and communications equipment, and spill kit. Logger is trained, certification (CLP), and insured. Rates comparable or better to other local forestry companies. 3. Uhl 7325/KF. Active clearcut operation as part of file-processor-forwarder method. Interview with employee of logging contractor. Wages comparable or better than other local cortestry companies. 3. Uhl 7325/KF. Active clearcut operation is a cited to the state installed on truck, but not on machinery. 4. Uhl 7325/TF. Inspection of spill kit, fire extinguisher and suppression, and communications equipment. Pay is comparable or obter or dense of the activated method with segme or exaits due to the mapping system. 5. Uhl 7325/KF. Inspection of spill kit, fire extinguisher and suppression, and communications equipment. Pay is comparable or obter logging portessional training (CLP). Inspection of deging jobs. 5. Uhl 73725/KF. Inspection of spill kit, fire extinguisher and suppression, and communications equipment. Pay is
2023-10-17	7.00 Irving Woodlands, LLC Field visits (Johnson)	1.Tracy Brok (Block 732906) – Observed a reconstructed logging road, approximately 2 years old. Wel-graveled road, about 5 miles long to replace an older, retired road with many curves and out-dated water cossings. Vewed a 6 ft steel/aluminized culver to replace a 3 ft diameter culvert. The messaginate part of the second
2023-10-18	10.00 Irving Woodlands, LLC Field visits (Meister)	Route North, Kyle Meister -Unders stated otherwise: Al FIMPs examined and up to date. Site-level plans examined and all completed as approved. All implementation records examined in the field were consistent with the Forest Management Plan (FMP) and site-level plan. Records of harvest monitoring completed and acceptable for all harvest inspections. All RTE, Natural Heritage, RTE, wildlife, RM2/water, arch checks completed for all sites inspected. Any cultural sites, such as those designated in cooperation with indigenous peoples, are confidential and not listed in site notes. Stops: 1. Exot Kent office: overview of district, safety precautions, recent activities, and final site selection. 2. Unit 8236A1 (101 ac.) : active design peopulations recent activities, and final site selection. 2. Unit 8236A1 (101 ac.) : active design peopulations recent activities, and final site selection. 3. Unit 8207A1 (15 ac.): aspen regeneration harvest for deer yard management. Site receives high recreation use, including ATVs, snowmobiles, hunting, and fishing at a nearby lake and stream. The riparian management zone was left unharvested to protect fishing values. Reviewed PFW section 3. Unit 8207A1 (15 ac.): aspen regeneration harvest for daturally established mixed wood stand that will be propped and planted with white spruce in a similar fashion to sites visited on previous day. Observation of island retention block. Ridge with mixed wood was left unharvested to froat active design previous attrate the distributed wood stand that will be prepped and planted with white spruce. Site will law preved and planted with white spruce is a similar fashion to sites visited on previous day. Observation of island retention block. Ridge with mixed wood stand there intervises and accent state states and accent states intervises the relative states. The relative states and states in the distributed in white spruce. Site will law and streams in the relative states and states in the dissing state or dissing state or distre and states i

#### Audit itinerary

4.01 Audit Itinerary		
Item Start Date	4.02 Hours 4.03 MUs or members 4.04 Activities	4.05 Site detail
2023-10-18	10.00 Irving Woodlands, LLC Field visits (John 5.00 Irving Woodlands, LLC Office (Meister)	<ul> <li>1. Mode Pord Road (11723) — Observed logging road maintenance in AUX is set to main maintenance in Houses and contract serms out. Related with a 3 ft. diameter plastic cohert. Expands of these a second with maintenance in Houses and contract serms out. Relations are the plastic cohert. Expands of these a second with maintenance in Houses and contract serms out. Relations are the plastic cohert. Expands of these a second with maintenance in Houses and contract serms out. Relations are the plastic cohert. Houses are the cohert in the plastic cohert. House are the cohert in the plastic cohert in the plastic cohert in the plastic cohert. House are the cohert in the plastic cohert in the plastic cohert in the plastic cohert. House are the plastic cohert in the plasticon cohert is the plastic cohert in the plastic cohert in the p</li></ul>
2023-10-19	5.00 Irving Woodlands, LLC Office (Johnson)	Document review and staff inderwese related to 15, 25, 32, 33, 30, 02, 03, 03, 43, 405, 405 and management parts, including reports, and demonstration or new road monitoring system.
2020-10-13	0.00 Inving Woodlands, EEO Onice (Johnson)	Document review and sain met were tealed of 17, 2, 72, 410 07.

2023-10-19 2.00 Irving Woodlands, LLC Closing Meeting Preparation: Auditor(s) take time to consolidate notes and confirm evaluation findings Closing Meeting: Brief summary of audit activities, present preliminary findings, confidentiality, SCS/FSC dispute policy, timeline for report, and discuss next steps.

## Forest management enterprise information

Question	Inputs
Forest Area	
5.02 Brief description of any area of forest over which the certificate holder has some responsibility, whether as owner (including shared or partial ownership), manager, consultant or other responsibility) which the certificate holder has chosen to exclude from the scope of the certificate, together with an explanation of the reason.	
5.03 Area of forest owned/managed but excluded from MUs in the scope of certification	
5.03.1 According to FSC-POL-20-003 *	0.0 ha
5.03.2 Other reasons *	0.0 ha
Forest Workers	
Impacted Parties	

## Forest management enterprise information

Question	Inputs

#### **Environmental Values**

#### 5.19 Environmental safeguards relevant to forest operations

5.19.1 buffer zone *	Yes
5.19.2 chemical use control *	Yes
5.19.3 conservation area set aside *	Yes
5.19.4 erosion control *	Yes

5.19.5 other, please specify

Standard Operating Procudures, Best Management Practices, Policies & Procedures and Annual Training are conducted annually for the gamit of environmental safeguarding.

5.20 Description of environmental safeguards

Management Units		Area Units: ha							
7.01 MU name *	7.02 Forest zone *	7.03 SLIMF type *	7.04 Tenure-ownership *	7.05 Tenure- management *	7.06 Centroid Latitude *	7.07 Centroid Longitude *	7.08 Total production forest area *	7.09 Total non- production forest area *	7.10 Total area of MU *
Number of Valid Entries:	1				Area Totals		489,989.00	29,583.00	519,572.00
Irving Woodlands, LLC (IWLLC)	Temperate	Non-SLIMF	Private	Private	47.2215410	0 -68.75569700	489,989.00	29,583.00	519,572.00

## Main commercial timber species included in scope of the certificate

8.01 Species *	8.02 Product code *	8.03 Trade name	8.05 Remarks	
Acer spp.	W1 Rough wood	Mixed Hardwood (All)	Red & Sugar Maple	
Acer spp.	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Mixed Hardwood (All)	Red & Sugar Maple	
Fraxinus spp.	W1 Rough wood	Mixed Hardwood (All)	White & Black Ash	
Fraxinus spp.	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Mixed Hardwood (All)	White & Black Ash	
Betula spp.	W1 Rough wood	Mixed Hardwood (All)	White & Yellow Birch	
Betula spp.	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Mixed Hardwood (All)	White & Yellow Birch	
Fagus grandifolia	W1 Rough wood	Mixed Hardwood (All)	American Beech	
Fagus grandifolia	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Mixed Hardwood (All)	American Beech	
Quercus rubra	W1 Rough wood	Mixed Hardwood (All)	Northern Red Oak	
Quercus rubra	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Mixed Hardwood (All)	Northern Red Oak	
Populus spp.	W1 Rough wood	Mixed Hardwood (All)	Big Leaf & Trembling Aspen	
Populus spp.	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Mixed Hardwood (All)	Big Leaf & Trembling Aspen	
Picea spp.	W1 Rough wood	Spruce	White, Red, Black & Norway Spruce	
Picea spp.	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Spruce	White, Red, Black & Norway Spruce	
Abies balsamea	W1 Rough wood	Fir	Balsam Fir	
Abies balsamea	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Fir	Balsam Fir	
Thuja occidentalis	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	Cedar	Northern White Cedar	
Pinus strobus	W1.1 Roundwood (logs)	White Pine	Eastern White Pine	
Pinus resinosa	W1 Rough wood	Red Pine	Red Pine	
Tsuga canadensis (L.) Carr.	ga canadensis (L.) Carr. W1 Rough wood		Eastern Hemlock	

#### Pesticide use since previous audit/year

10.01 Active ingredient *	10.02 Restriction	10.03 Applied area *	10.04 Reason for use *	10.05 Quantity of ingredient *	10.06 Summary of ESRA *
glyphosate	Restricted	2,715.8 ha	Primarily for control of pests such as raspberry & shrubs and some woodles like poplar and pin cherry. Primarily used in follow up confer release applications but may also be used for site preparation.	12,594.1 litres	General miligation strategies:         • The use of compatible, label approved, tank mixes is utilized to efficiently reduce the risk of frequent applications and excessive pesticide use.         • Tank mixing follows label pre-cautions to always adopt the most stringent guidance based on the chemicals involved.         • Compatible surfactants are utilized as per label to efficiently reduce the risk of frequent applications and excessive pesticide use on the landscape.         Mitigation strategies for herbicide spills on soil and water:         • Herbicide mixing, loading, and application do not occur within 100 feet of watercourses and wetlands.         • Firvironmental Management System in place that is certified to ISO 14001. The Pesticide Use program is evaluated against a maximum target of internal nor conformances and tracked continuous).         • Standard Operating Procedures (SOP's) have been developed and are implemented to meet or exceed all federal and state guidelines concerning buffering, spills, safety etc.         Mitigation strategies for non-target species protection:         • Detailed Maps, AGNAV job polygons are for monitoring by staff on the ground and in the application equipment.         • Plans and maps are provided to appropriate regulatory agencies for screening, approval, and review.         • Detailed session reports are maintained daily for label and regulatory compliance and kept for future reference and inspection.         • AGNAV files are reviewed daily for variance and maintained for future reference.         • Mitstrates ticensed Applicators contracted to execute operations on the ground.
imazapyr	Unrestricted	2,386.0 ha	Primarily for control of a brouad range of herbaceaous, ruderals up through woody competition from poplar to maple during site preparation prior to planting or at very low doses during conifor release.	2,797.4 litres	Onsite Process Review. Follow label recommendations. Pesticides are applied by licensed contractors. Written prescriptions are part of each contract. Organization has a documented IPM strategy that includes review of chemical and non-chemical options.
sulfometuron	Unrestricted	4,829.9 ha	Primarily for control of grass and ruderal competition either during site preparation or conifer release operations.	1.0 metric tonnes	Onsite Process Review. Follow label recommendations. Pesticides are applied by licensed contractors. Written prescriptions are part of each contract. Organization has a documented IPM strategy that includes review of chemical and non-chemical options.
metsulfuron	Unrestricted	2,386.0 ha	Primarily for control of herbaceaous, ruderal and raspberry types of competition during site preparation.	0.3 metric tonnes	Onsite Process Review. Follow label recommendations. Pesticides are applied by licensed contractors. Written prescriptions are part of each contract. Organization has a documented IPM strategy that includes review of chemical and non-chemical options.
2.4-D	Restricted	4.0 ha	Control of annual and perrenial broadleaves, woodies and grass competing with softwoods.	18.9 litres	2.4-D is a highly hazardous pesticide (HHP) based on its classification in the Acute Toxicity hazard group and demonstration of the potential for acute toxicity to mammals and birds (Criterion 2) per the FSC Pesticides Policy (FSC-POL- 30-001 V3-0 EN) and the FSC Lists of Highly Hazardous Pesticides (FSC-POL- 30-001 a EN). However, risks from other FSC hazard groups and toxicity categories were not precluded from this assessment. Follow all pesticide label application instructions. Follow applicable criterion and indicators from the FSC US FM Standard V1.0 (e.g., Criterion 4.3 for worker stery, Criterion 7.3 for worker training, Criterion 6.5 for protecting water resources, and Criteria 8.1 and 8.2 for Monitoring). Applicators or persons supervising application strategies are provided below. Organizations should take reasonable steps to avoiding environmental and social impacts by considering the mitigation strategies provided below, as well as application-, Organization-, or location-specific strategies.
clopyralid	Unrestricted	12.9 ha	Control of broadleaf weeds including annual and perrenial thistle competing with softwoods.	18.9 litres	Onsite Process Review. Follow label recommendations. Pesticides are applied by licensed contractors. Written prescriptions are part of each contract. Organization has a documented IPM strategy that includes review of chemical and non-chemical options.